

**Edwards Aquifer
Recovery Implementation Program Retreat
December 1 and 2, 2009
Agenda**

Proposed ground rules for the Retreat:

1. Come prepared by reviewing past RIP documents and work (particularly on background regarding possible covered actions).
2. Commit to work through dialogue (i.e., joint learning), not debate. Speak to explain; listen to understand.
3. Work to meet not only your own interests, but also the bona fide interests of the other stakeholders.
4. Come prepared to discuss substantive issues, choices and how to make the best decisions.
5. Be able to brainstorm, develop ideas, and invent without committing. This is a time for exploratory ideas and considerations, not proposals and counteroffers.
6. Agree that stakeholders' willingness to discuss an option will not be considered any agreement to support that option – merely a willingness to discuss. Similarly, a stakeholder who suggests consideration of an option is free to later decide that the option is not suitable.
7. Recognize that part of this exploration is figuring out where we have commonality, where we don't and why, and what possible additional work might be needed to bridge differences.
8. If applicable, loosen your grip on your "favorite" solution, and be open to considering other options/combinations of options.

December 1, 2009

- | | |
|-------|---|
| 10:00 | Welcome, Agenda Review for the Day |
| 10:15 | What is the Collective Purpose(s) of the RIP? |
| 10:45 | Recreation and Legal Authorities <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Presentation: Colette Barron, Nathan Pence and Melani Howard• Discussion |
| 11:45 | Lunch |
| 12:30 | Review of the Options for Covered Actions |
| 1:00 | How do you prioritize the options for covered actions? <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Small group work• What criteria, rationales, or means do we use to prioritize the options/actions we explore most thoroughly within our limited time and resources and existing information?• If you were to prioritize the general categories of actions, which ones would you prioritize for RIP work and why? |
| 2:45 | Break |

- 3:00 Sharing Results with the Whole Group from each Breakout
- 3:30 Discussion about Commonalities and Differences Among the Breakout Groups
- 4:00 How might you combine the various options for covered actions? Where are there synergies? Which options, if any, are obvious?
- What criteria, rationales, or means do we use to put together the covered actions? How do we measure success for a specific option?
 - What are the elements of an acceptable final combination?
 - What role should the Science Subcommittee “numbers” play? Other quantitative data? Other qualitative data?
- 4:45 Work Plan and Process Review
- Workflow and Tasks chart and table
 - Timelines and deadlines of key reports/product
 - Process Map, roles of each entity, and Role of Science Subcommittee past December 3 (diagram)
- 5:30 Adjourn and Dinner

December 2, 2009

- 8:00 RIP Business
- 9:15 Review of Mission (drafted after Day One)
- 9:45 Break
- 10:00 Breakout groups to develop possible combinations of actions
- 11:30 Sharing Results with the Whole Group from each Breakout
- 12:00 Lunch
- 12:30 Discussion of potential useful combinations covered actions
- 1:30 Share Facilitator’s Synthesized Results from Days 1 and 2
- Review
 - Discussion
 - Can we agree to a prioritized and potentially combined set of covered actions to explore in more depth in January.
- 2:30 Wrapping up and Thinking Forward
- What does our agenda for January 14 and the January retreat look like?
 - What needs done before then?
 - How did this meeting go?
- 3:00 Adjourn