

DRAFT EARIP EIS TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract

Chapter 1, Purpose and Need

1.1 Background

- 1.1.1 EARIP Creation and Mandates
- 1.1.2 Federally-listed Species
- 1.1.3 Incidental Take Permit and Habitat Conservation Plan

1.2 Proposed Action and Decisions Needed

1.3 Purpose of the Proposed Action

1.4 Need for the Proposed Action

1.5 Scoping the Issues and Concerns

- 1.5.1 The Scoping Process
- 1.5.2 Public Involvement
 - 1.5.2.1 Public Scoping Meeting
 - 1.5.2.2 EARIP Steering Committee, Subcommittees, and Input Process
 - 1.5.2.3 Scope of the DEIS
- 1.5.3 Other Required Actions

Chapter 2, Alternatives Including the Proposed Action

2.1 Process Used to Formulate the Alternatives

2.2 Alternatives Eliminated from Further Consideration

- 2.2.1 No Restrictions on Aquifer Pumping
- 2.2.2 Regional Habitat Conservation Plan Mandated by Court Order
- 2.2.3 Extending Existing or Proposed Habitat Conservation Proposals/Plans Developed by Other Entities
 - 2.2.3.1 Biological Opinion Issued for Military Installations Located in San Antonio, Texas
 - 2.2.3.2 Proposed HCP Developed by Bexar Metropolitan Water District
 - 2.2.3.3 San Marcos River Habitat Conservation Plan Cooperatively Developed by the City of San Marcos, Texas, and Texas State University
 - 2.2.3.4 EAA HCP
- 2.2.4 Conservation Agreements or Habitat Conservation Plans Not Requiring a Federal Permit Issued Under Section 7 or Section 10(a) of the Endangered Species Act

2.3 Alternatives Considered Including the Proposed Action

- 2.3.1 Alternative 1: No Action
 - 2.3.1.1 Affected Area
 - 2.3.1.2 Existing Regulatory Requirements
 - 2.3.1.3 Potential Impacts
 - 2.3.1.4 Ongoing Measures to Minimize Potential Impacts
 - 2.3.1.5 Ongoing Measures to Mitigate and Monitor Potential Impacts
 - 2.3.1.6 Ongoing Implementation Activities
 - 2.3.1.7 Existing Funding
- 2.3.2 Alternative 2: Proposed EARIP HCP
 - 2.3.2.1 Plan Area, Permit Area Participants
 - 2.3.2.2 Covered Activities
 - 2.3.2.3 Potential Impacts
 - 2.3.2.4 Measures to Minimize Potential Impacts
 - 2.3.2.5 Measures to Mitigate Potential Impacts
 - 2.3.2.6 Adaptive Management Program
 - 2.3.2.7 Implementing Roles of the EAHCP Participants
 - 2.3.2.8 Costs and Funding
 - 2.3.2.9 Permit Administration
- 2.3.3 Alternative 3: To Be Determined
 - 2.3.3.1 Plan Area, Permit Area Participants
 - 2.3.3.2 Covered Activities
 - 2.3.3.3 Potential Impacts
 - 2.3.3.4 Measures to Minimize Potential Impacts
 - 2.3.3.5 Measures to Mitigate Potential Impacts
 - 2.3.3.6 Adaptive Management Program
 - 2.3.3.7 Implementing Roles of the EAHCP Participants
 - 2.3.3.8 Costs and Funding
 - 2.3.3.9 Permit Administration

2.4 Comparison of the Alternatives

- 2.4.1 Permit Area Boundaries
- 2.4.2 HCP Measures
- 2.4.3 Funding Sources
- 2.4.4 Incidental Take

Chapter 3, Affected Environment

3.1 Physical Environment

- 3.1.1 Climate
 - 3.1.1.1 Regional Description
 - 3.1.1.2 Frequency of Tropical Storms
 - 3.1.1.3 Frequency of Droughts
 - 3.1.1.4 Climate Change
- 3.1.2 Geology
 - 3.1.2.1 Regional Physiography and Geologic History
 - 3.1.2.2 Edwards Aquifer
 - 3.1.2.3 San Marcos Spring
 - 3.1.2.4 Comal Springs
- 3.1.3 Soils
 - 3.1.3.1 Regional
 - 3.1.3.2 San Marcos Spring

3.1.3.3 Comal Springs

3.2 Water Resources

- 3.2.1 Surface Water
 - 3.2.1.1 River Basins
 - 3.2.1.2 Aquifer-fed Springs
 - 3.2.1.3 Surface Water Quality
- 3.2.2 Groundwater
 - 3.2.2.1 Description of the Edwards Aquifer
 - 3.2.2.2 Groundwater Quality
 - 3.2.2.3 The Edwards Aquifer Water Budget
- 3.2.3 Effect of Climate Change on Precipitation

3.3 Biological Resources

- 3.3.1 Regional Flora and Fauna
 - 3.3.1.1 Regional Ecology
 - 3.3.1.2 Rare Species Not Endemic to the Edwards Aquifer, Comal Springs, or San Marcos Springs
- 3.3.2 Animal and Plant Species in the Edwards Aquifer, Comal Springs, San Marcos Springs, and Karst Ecosystems
 - 3.3.2.1 Edwards Aquifer Ecosystem
 - 3.3.2.2 Comal Springs Ecosystem
 - 3.3.2.3 San Marcos Springs Ecosystem
 - 3.3.2.4 Common Components of the Comal and San Marcos Springs Ecosystems
 - 3.3.2.5 Terrestrial Karst Invertebrates Federally Listed as Endangered
- 3.3.3 Listed Species Addressed by the EARIP Section 10(a)(1)(B) Permit
 - 3.3.3.1 Comal Springs Dryopid Beetle (*Stygoparnus comalensis*)
 - 3.3.3.2 Comal Springs Riffle Beetle (*Heterelmis comalensis*)
 - 3.3.3.3 Fountain Darter (*Etheostoma fonticola*)
 - 3.3.3.4 Peck's Cave Amphipod (*Stygobromus pecki*)
 - 3.3.3.5 San Marcos Gambusia (*Gambusia georgei*)
 - 3.3.3.6 Texas Wild-rice (*Zizania texana*)
 - 3.3.3.7 Texas Blind Salamander (*Eurycea rathbuni*)
 - 3.3.3.8 San Marcos Salamander (*Eurycea nana*)
- 3.3.4 Candidate Species Addressed by the EARIP Section 10(a)(1)(B) Permit – To Be Determined
- 3.3.5 Species of Concern and/or Petitioned for Listing Addressed by the EARIP Section 10(a)(1)(B) Permit – To Be Determined
- 3.3.6 Critical Habitat

3.4 Agriculture

- 3.4.1 Production
- 3.4.2 Water Use
- 3.4.3 Other Agricultural Enterprises
 - 3.4.3.1 Aquaculture
 - 3.4.3.2 Hunting Leases
- 3.4.4 Effect of Climate Change on Agriculture

3.5 Demographics

- 3.5.1 Regions
- 3.5.2 Population Growth
 - 3.5.2.1 Western Region
 - 3.5.2.2 Central Region
 - 3.5.2.3 Eastern Region
 - 3.5.2.4 Downstream Region
- 3.5.3 Population Projections
 - 3.5.3.1 Total Population Projections
 - 3.5.3.2 Population Projections by Race
- 3.5.4 Population Density
 - 3.5.4.1 Persons per Square Mile
 - 3.5.4.2 Persons per Household
- 3.5.5 Water Demand
 - 3.5.5.1 Water Demand in the HCP Planning Area
 - 3.5.5.2 Water Demand in the Jurisdictional Area of the Edwards Aquifer Authority

3.6 Economy

- 3.6.1 Western Region
 - 3.6.1.1 Government
 - 3.6.1.2 Services
 - 3.6.1.3 Trade, Transportation, and Utilities
 - 3.6.1.4 Manufacturing
 - 3.6.1.5 Natural Resources and Mining
- 3.6.2 Central Region
 - 3.6.2.1 Government
 - 3.6.2.2 Services
 - 3.6.2.3 Manufacturing
 - 3.6.2.4 Agriculture
 - 3.6.2.5 Trade, Transportation, and Utilities
- 3.6.3 Eastern Region
 - 3.6.3.1 Government
 - 3.6.3.2 Trade
 - 3.6.3.3 Services
 - 3.6.3.4 Manufacturing
 - 3.6.3.5 Financial Activities
 - 3.6.3.6 Information
 - 3.6.3.7 Natural Resources and Mining
 - 3.6.3.8 Recreation and Tourism
- 3.6.4 Downstream Region
 - 3.6.4.1 Government
 - 3.6.4.2 Manufacturing
 - 3.6.4.3 Services
 - 3.6.4.4 Trade, Transportation, and Utilities
 - 3.6.4.5 Natural Resources and Mining
 - 3.6.4.6 Information
 - 3.6.4.7 Construction
 - 3.6.4.8 Recreation

3.7 Land Use

- 3.7.1 Western Region

- 3.7.2 Central Region
- 3.7.3 Eastern Region
- 3.7.4 Downstream Region

3.8 Cultural Resources

- 3.8.1 Regulatory Compliance
- 3.8.2 Cultural History of Comal and San Marcos Springs
- 3.8.3 Archeological Surveys
- 3.8.4 NRHP/SAL Properties in Close Proximity to San Marcos Springs
- 3.8.5 Archeological Sites in Close Proximity to San Marcos Springs
 - 3.8.5.1 Sites Potentially Eligible for the NRHP
 - 3.8.5.2 Archeological Sites
- 3.8.6 NRHP/SAL Properties in Close Proximity to Comal Springs
- 3.8.7 Texas Historical Markers in Close Proximity to Comal Springs
- 3.8.8 Archeological Sites in Close Proximity to Comal Springs
 - 3.8.8.1 Sites Potentially Eligible for the NRHP
 - 3.8.8.2 Archeological Sites

3.9 Air Quality

- 3.9.1 Pollutant Dispersion Characteristics
- 3.9.2 Regional Compliance Standards
- 3.9.3 Relevant Pollutants
 - 3.9.3.1 Greenhouse Gas Emissions
 - 3.9.3.2 Other
- 3.9.4 Prescribed Burning

Chapter 4, Environmental Consequences

4.1 Alternative 1: No Action

- 4.1.1 Physical Environment
 - 4.1.1.1 Climate and Climate Change
 - 4.1.1.2 Geology
 - 4.1.1.3 Soils
- 4.1.2 Water Resources
 - 4.1.2.1 Surface Water in the Guadalupe, San Antonio, and Nueces River Basins
 - 4.1.2.2 Surface Water Quality in the Guadalupe, San Antonio, and Nueces River Basins
 - 4.1.2.3 Aquifer-fed Springs
 - 4.1.2.4 Groundwater, Aquifer Levels, and Groundwater Quality
 - 4.1.2.5 Other Contaminants
- 4.1.3 Biological Resources – Regional
 - 4.1.3.1 Aquatic Resources
 - 4.1.3.2 Terrestrial Resources
- 4.1.4 Biological Resources – Comal Springs, San Marcos Springs, and Other Spring Ecosystems (Fern Bank and Hueco Springs)
 - 4.1.4.1 Impact Assessment
 - 4.1.4.2 Risk Categories
 - 4.1.4.3 Evaluation of Impacts of Specific Alternatives
- 4.1.5 Agriculture and Urban Land Use
 - 4.1.5.1 Agricultural Production

- 4.1.5.2 Urban Land Use
 - 4.1.5.2.1 Regulation of Urban Land Use
 - 4.1.5.2.2 Total Quantity of Urban Land Use Growth
 - 4.1.5.2.3 Spatial Distribution of Land Use Growth
 - 4.1.5.2.4 Character of the Urban Landscape
- 4.1.6 Social Resources
 - 4.1.6.1 Population Effects
 - 4.1.6.2 Minority Populations
 - 4.1.6.3 Low Income Populations
 - 4.1.6.4 Community and Public Resources
- 4.1.7 Economics
 - 4.1.7.1 Water Use
 - 4.1.7.2 Employment
 - 4.1.7.3 Income
 - 4.1.7.4 Gross Regional Product Related to Irrigated Agriculture
 - 4.1.7.5 Water Prices
 - 4.1.7.6 Net Regional Benefits
- 4.1.8 Cultural Resources
 - 4.1.8.1 Types and Extent of Effects
 - 4.1.8.2 Summary of Impacts to Existing Archeological Sites
- 4.1.9 Air Quality
 - 4.1.9.1 Summary of Impacts
 - 4.1.9.2 Effects of Potential Brush Control on Air Quality
 - 4.1.9.3 Effects on Greenhouse Gases

4.2 Alternative 2: Proposed EARIP HCP

- 4.2.1 Physical Environment
- 4.2.2 Water Resources
- 4.2.3 Biological Resources – Regional
- 4.2.4 Biological Resources – Comal Springs, San Marcos Springs, and Other Spring Ecosystems (Fern Bank and Hueco Springs)
- 4.2.5 Agriculture and Urban Land Use
- 4.2.6 Social Resources
- 4.2.7 Economics
- 4.2.8 Cultural Resources
- 4.2.9 Air Quality

4.3 Alternative 3: To Be Determined

- 4.3.1 Physical Environment
- 4.3.2 Water Resources
- 4.3.3 Biological Resources – Regional
- 4.3.4 Biological Resources – Comal Springs, San Marcos Springs, and Other Spring Ecosystems (Fern Bank and Hueco Springs)
- 4.3.5 Agriculture and Urban Land Use
- 4.3.6 Social Resources
- 4.3.7 Economics
- 4.3.8 Cultural Resources
- 4.3.9 Air Quality

4.4 Comparison of Impacts by Alternatives

4.5 Cumulative and Secondary Impacts

- 4.5.1 Cumulative Impacts
- 4.5.2 Secondary Impacts
- 4.5.3 Evaluate Impacts from Climate Change

4.6 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources

Chapter 5, Relationship Between Local Short-term Uses of Man's Environment and the Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-term Productivity

This chapter discusses the attempts to balance short-term water demands and endangered species concerns with long-term water supply, including the impacts of climate change on regional precipitation, and species conservation issues.

Chapter 6, Coordination and Consultation

Lists private and governmental entities solicited for input and consultation in development of the HCP/EIS.

Chapter 7, List of Preparers

Individuals and firms who assisted with preparation of the HCP/EIS document will be listed in this chapter.

Chapter 8, References Cited

This chapter includes all written references, personal communication, and internet inquiries used to prepare the HCP/EIS document.

Chapter 9, Glossary of Terms and Acronyms

An extensive list is provided of acronyms and glossary of terms to describe underground and hydrological processes.

Chapter 10, Index

This chapter will be included after the review by EARIP and before the document is released for public comment. It will provide references to names and topics discussed in the EIS and provide specific page numbers for the references.

Appendices

Pertinent documents or reports to support documentation in the EIS will be included as appendices as appropriate.