



Edwards Aquifer, Recovery Implementation Program
April 8, 9 am – 5:00 pm; April 9, 9 am – 3:45 pm
Draft Agenda – Facilitated Session Ver8

Seven EARIP Goals for April 8-9:

1. Complete our “learning process.”
2. Learn how the Stakeholders feel about the various options for getting water to the species when the species need it? Do some options stand out above others?
3. Learn how the Stakeholders feel about general aquifer management options. Do some merit our continuing investigation and consideration with our limited resources?
4. Determine whether we can reach a preliminary decision on a Minimization and Mitigation package for our consultants to use.
5. Learn how the Stakeholders feel about refugia.
6. Discussion: What needs to be discussed about future water supply/new water?
7. Begin our discussion of packages of activities; hear and discuss proposals for packages from RIP members.

April 8, 2010

- 9:00 Welcome, Agenda review
- 9:10 Report on Quarries – location and costs (45 minutes)
- Presentation by Mathews (20 minutes)
 - Discussion (25 minutes)
- 9:55 Low Impact Development – location and costs (Annalisa Pease) (30 mins)
- Presentation by Marita Roos, UrbanBiology (15 minutes)
 - Discussion (15 minutes)
- 10:25 Break (15 minutes)
- 10:40 HDR/Sam Vaugh Update on Engineered Options (65 mins)
- Critical pathways for analyzing engineered options (excluding costs) (25 minutes)
 - Discussion (40 minutes)
- 11:45 Lunch (45 minutes)
- 12:30 Facilitated discussion: How do we get water to the species when the species need it? (120 minutes including a break)
- What do we think about the various options? Can we establish a preliminary prioritization of the various options?
- Quarries
 - ASRs

- Off-channel storage
- Recharge and recirculation
- Critical Period Management floor adjustment
- Dry-year option for agricultural uses (Attachment 1)
- Can a recharge structure on the Lower Blanco adequately protect springflow at San Marcos during drought?
- Are there other options for getting water to the species when the species need it?

2:30 Break

2:45 Facilitated discussion: What do we think about the options for decreasing time in critical period and generally enhancing aquifer management? (90 minutes)

- Recharge structures
- Brush management
- Watershed management such as land ordinances, infiltration requirements, etc.
- Improve efficiency of Medina Lake recharge
- Uvalde Pipeline Project
- Other

4:15 Minimization and Mitigation and Refugia (45 minutes)

Can we agree for now work to use the priority recommendations of the Ecosystem Restoration subcommittee as the core for our mitigation and mitigation package? . Are there specific measures from our option list that we want to add to that preliminary package? What is the role of refugia in the covered action? (Attachment 2).

5:00 Adjourn for the Day

April 9, 2010

9:00 EARIP Business Meeting (Attachment 3).

9:45 Resume discussion of April 8 issues (60 minutes)

10:45 Break

11:00 Assuming we can get water to the species when the species need it, do we want to also address the region's future water needs? If so, how should we approach the issue? (60 minutes).

12:00 Lunch (45 mins)

12:45 Creating and reviewing Packages of measures from the Options List: Can we begin to assemble and review some packages of activities? (105 minutes)

- What should be included in a comprehensive set of covered activities? (15 minutes)
 - What is absolutely required?
 - What makes the Packages more likely to be successful?
- Discussion of any proposals for packages submitted by Stakeholders? (75 minutes)

2:30 Break

2:45 Next steps, needs, actions? (30 minutes)(Attachment 4)

- What adjustments, if any, are needed to the HDR scope?
- Assuming we get the comments back from the Science Subcommittee in April, when do you want to discuss Ed Oborny's flow regime?
- Do we need to meet before May 18? Alternatively, do we need to expand the May 18 meeting to two days?

3:15 Review of the two days and the quality of collaborative work (30 minutes):

- How well are we engaged in dialogue?
- How is our communication improved? Are there key topics that to date are unspoken yet critical to our work?
- What is needed to improve the collaborative process?

3:45 Adjourn