

## WHAT IS THE GENETIC TAXONOMIC STATUS OF THE COMAL SPRINGS SALAMANDER IN THE COMAL SPRINGS AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM?

### PRELIMINARY SCOPE OF WORK

#### Task 1. Literature Review

Consultant will conduct an extensive literature review on current genetic taxonomic classification of the Comal Springs salamander (CSS), similar *Eurycea* species and any possible relationships. The literature review will serve as the basis for developing the methodology and parameters for the study.

#### Task 2. Methodology Development

This task is divided into subtasks consisting of methodology development and Science Committee review. The Consultant shall discuss the process, approach, oversight, strategies, and budget requirements to complete each of the subtasks listed below:

##### Subtask 2.1 Develop Experimental Design and Detailed Methodologies

This study requires genetic analysis of CSS to compare to the genetics of described *Eurycea* species in order to determine and describe the taxonomic status of the CSS. Samples for genetic analysis should be collected from CSS collected though out the Comal Springs aquatic ecosystem in order to evaluate CSS genetic diversity, as well.

The Consultant will develop a statistically valid study to answer the study question and will be required to provide justification for the selection of the environmental variables and the methodologies selected to conduct the study.

##### Subtask 2.2 Present Literature Review and Methodologies to the Science Committee

Once proposed methodologies have been developed, the Consultant will present these methodologies to the EAHCP Science Committee for review prior to the implementation of any activities in the field. The Consultant will give a 30 minute presentation and must be prepared to answer any questions that the members of the Science Committee have. Recommendations provided by the Science Committee should be considered for inclusion in final research methodologies. The Consultant will provide detailed written justification to the EAA for any recommendations they do not incorporate into their final methodology.

#### Task 3. Conduct Applied Research

The Consultant will carry out experimentation consistent with the methodologies proposed in Task 2 and approved by the Science Committee. The Consultant will keep a project notebook containing a description of the assumptions and methodologies used in the study analysis. The notebook shall be organized in such a way as to allow replication of the steps, calculations, and procedures used by the Consultant to reach conclusions, described in the draft final report. The project notebook shall include a “flashdrive” or other suitable electronic media of all raw data

collected during the project and will be submitted with the draft final report. In addition, the Consultant will take photographs of the experimentation (if applicable) throughout its various phases and make these photos available on the data “flashdrive” or other suitable electronic media and utilized in reports submitted to the EAA (where applicable).

**Task 4. Draft and Final Reports**

The Consultant will include in the Draft and Final Report a section describing the assumptions and methodology used by the Consultant in generating the data, analysis and conclusions. The reports will summarize statistical analysis, and conclusions, and will provide recommendations to the EAA for potential future research (if applicable). The Final Report, along with all data and the project notebook, must be submitted in hard copy and on a “flashdrive” or other suitable electronic media in a Microsoft Office, or other agreed upon format.

**Task 5. Meetings and Presentations**

The Consultant should budget for a minimum of two meetings with the Science Committee and when requested by the EAHCP Program Manager (1) to present the project methodologies, and; (2) to present the project results.