

Proposed Process and Timeline for Implementation of the 1st NAS EAHCP Report

January 15th Implementing Committee Meeting

- EAHCP staff present proposed process for planning for implementation of the NAS report
- Implementing Committee modify and/or approve the process

February 28, 2015

- 1st NAS EAHCP Report published by NAS
- Distribute report to Implementing, Stakeholder, and Science Committees as soon as realistic after receiving.

March 19th Implementing Committee Meeting

- Chairman Reible (National Research Committee ((NRC)) Chair, Texas Tech) will provide the Implementing Committee an Executive Summary of the report. This presentation is not intended to be a detailed presentation of the report, but rather a very broad breakdown of the recommendations by concepts and themes. This is not a Question and Answer session.

Month of April

- Collect written comments and questions from the public via: website, emails, and handwritten submittals.
- Program Manager presentations to Boards, Councils, and Committees as requested.
- Compile all unanswered questions from Implementing Committee, Stakeholder Committee, Science Committees, and the public. Work with NAS and staff to provide responses.

April 7th Science Committee Meeting

- The Program Manager will provide the Science Committee with a similar executive summary presentation. Again, this is intended to be a presentation of concepts and themes, not a detailed Question and Answer session about individual recommendations.

April 16th Implementing Committee Meeting

- EAHCP staff will provide the Implementing Committee with an analysis and evaluation of the NAS recommendations, based on the metrics identified below and organized into a matrix.
- Allow opportunity for the Implementing Committee to provide input and/or modify the matrix.
- Focus the discussion on implementation desires and concerns of the Implementing Committee.

Science and Stakeholder Committee Public Workshop - Week of April 20-23

- Host a public workshop for the Science Committee and Stakeholder Committee.
- The workshop would be focused on gathering input and comments only from the Science Committee and Stakeholder Committee.
- The workshop would be very structured with time limits for each chapter of the NAS report and time limits for comments and speaking.
- It is anticipated that the time would be proportioned equally among the two Committees.
- The exact form/agenda of the workshop would be set when the magnitude and main subject material of the report is known.
- An external facilitator may be required for this workshop.
- Facilitation should focus on obtaining comments related to implementation.

May 21st Implementing Committee Meeting

- Provide Implementing Committee members with a summary of the workshop and discuss comments provided by the Science and Stakeholder Committees.

- EAHCP staff will present an implementation plan based on Implementing, Stakeholder and Science Committees input. And/or the Implementing Committee will appoint a workgroup to prepare a implementation plan to be presented at a later date (this second option could be accomplished on April 16th).

Metrics for Evaluation and Analysis of NAS Recommendations

Within each Chapter (program area):

1. Sort recommendations in one of two categories: Policy or Science
2. Then sort recommendations as: fatal flaw of program, short-term strategy, long-term strategy or not necessary for implementation (such as: out of scope or not required for ITP compliance)
3. After sorting, evaluate and analyze each recommendation for:
 - a. Politically feasible
 - b. Operationally feasible
 - c. Fiscally feasible (if possible identify funding source)
 - d. Timing for implementation (if multiple steps, then timeline)
 - e. Implications of not implementing

ATTACHMENT 4
**Implementation Process of the 1st NAS Report
Recommendations**

